

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,151	09/26/2003	Keren Jacobs	Keren Jacobs LAMIP178/P1189		
22434	7590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER		
BEYER WE P.O. BOX 702	AVER & THOMAS LLP	TRAN, BINH X			
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1765		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					10
Office Action Summary		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/672,1	51	JACOBS ET AL.	
		Examine	r	Art Unit	
		Binh X. T	ran	1765	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIGN OF	AILING DATE OF TO of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extended in the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the contro	HIS COMMUNICATIC rent, however, may a reply be t rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2 Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic	b) This action is it	non-final. t for formal matters, p		e merits is
Disposition	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 14,15 and 20-37 is/are pend 14a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 14,15 and 20-37 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
Application	on Papers				
10) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Sered if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	• •
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of S. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date)-152)

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 7, filed 10-7-2005, with respect to Su's reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous ground of rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bhardwaj et al. (US 6,051,503).

Respect to claim 29, Bhardwaj discloses a etching feature in an etch layer through a mask (22) over a substrate, comprising:

placing a substrate in a process chamber (Fig 1);

providing a etch plasma to the process chamber;

etching a feature in the etch layer with the etch plasma;

ramping at least one etching parameter during the etching of the feature to optimize plasma parameters to the changing etch depth and etching with the ramped plasma until the feature is etched to a feature depth, wherein the ramping occurs greater than 50% of the duration of the etch (col. 8-9, Fig 9i, 9ii).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,151 Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

Respect to claims 30-31, Bhardwaj discloses the etch layer is a single uniform layer. Respect to claim 32, Bhardwaj discloses the ramping occurs over a time period of greater than 30 seconds (See Fig 19a-19b; 8 minutes in Fig 19a and 90 min in Fig 19b). Respect to claim 33, Bhardwaj discloses the ramping occurs greater than 50% of the duration of the etch (Fig 9i, 9ii). Respect to claim 34, Bhardwaj discloses the ramping is a non-linear ramping (col. 10 lines 57-60). Respect to claim 35, Bhardwaj discloses the ramping is a linear ramping (Fig 9ii). Respect to claim 36, Bhardwaj discloses etch plasma parameter that is ramped up is a gas flow rate (Fig 20, col. 10 lines 50-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1765

6. Claims 14-15, 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhardwaj in view of Hsieh et al. (US 6,949,203).

Respect to claim 14, Bhardwaj teaches a method for etching a layer through a mask comprising the step of:

placing a substrate in a process chamber (Fig 1);

providing a first etch plasma composition to the process chamber, wherein the first etch plasma composition begins to etch a feature in the etch layer (i.e. first cycle of the ramping process);

providing a second etch plasma composition, wherein the second etch plasma composition continues to etch a feature in the etch layer (i.e. second cycle of the ramping process);

providing a third etch plasma composition, wherein the second etch plasma composition continues to etch a feature in the etch layer (third cycle of the ramping process).

Bhardwaj further teaches to increase etch aggressive by increase the etch rate. For example, in Fig 4 Bhardwaj shows the first etch rate of about 100 angstrom/min, the second etch rate of about 200 angstrom/min and the third etch rate of about 400 angstrom/min (See Fig 4 data between 10% to 20% on the x-axis). Since the etch rate is increased between each step, the examiner will interpret that Bhardwaj teaches the third plasma is more aggressive to the second plasma, and the second plasma is more aggressive to the first plasma.

Art Unit: 1765

Respect to claim 14, Bhardwaj fails to disclose that the ramping increase etch aggressive with respect to etch stop. However, Bhardwaj clearly teaches an increase in etch aggressive. Hsieh discloses a process to etch layer selectively at a high rate with respect to etch stop (12) (See col. 3 lines 1-20). Hsieh further discloses an increase in active etchant gas will result in an increase in the etch rate with respect to the etch stop layer (Fig 7, i.e. more aggressive with respect to etch stop). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify Bhardwaj in view of Hsieh by increasing etch aggressive with respect to etch stop because it will result in a vertical and very narrow hole

Respect to claim 20, Bhardwaj teaches ramping at least one etching parameter during the etching of the feature to optimize plasma parameters to the changing etch depth and etching with the ramped plasma until the feature is etched to a feature depth (col. 8-9, Fig 9i, 9ii).

Respect to claims 15 and 27-28, Bhardwaj discloses the ramping decreases the etch selectivity between the etch layer and the mask (col. 9 lines 15-19; Note: selectivity reduce from greater than 100:1 to less than 20:1; read on limitation of claim 15, "first etch plasma is more selective than the second etch plasma and the second etch plasma is more selective than the third etch plasma" and limitation of claim 28). The limitation of claim 21-25 has been discussed above under Bhardwaj's reference.

Respect to claim 26, Bhardwaj fails to disclose the etch layer is a dielectric layer. In a semiconductor process, Hsieh discloses the etch layer is a dielectric layer (col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of

Application/Control Number: 10/672,151

Art Unit: 1765

invention, to modify Bhardwaj in view of Hsieh by using dielectric layer because this layer is necessary to protect and insulate the substrate and active structure during semiconductor process.

7. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stolze (US 6,449,038) in view of Bhardwaj et al. (US 6,051,503).

Respect to claim 37, Stolze discloses an apparatus for etching comprising a plasma processing chamber comprising:

- a chamber wall forming a plasma processing chamber enclosure;
- a substrate support (52) for supporting a substrate;
- a pressure regulator for regulating the pressure in the plasma processing chamber enclosure;

at least on electrode for providing power (RF power) to the plasma processing chamber enclosure for sustaining a plasma (col. 7 lines 10-25);

- a gas inlet (38) for providing gas into the plasma processing chamber;
- a gas outlet (i.e. exhaust 42) for exhausting gas from the plasma processing chamber enclosure;
 - a gas source (36) in fluid connection with the gas inlet (38);
- a controller controllably connected to at least one of the gas source, the at least one electrode, the pressure regulator, gas inlet/outlet, comprising:

at least one processor (i.e. CPU); and

computer readable media (i.e., disk drive) comprising computer readable code (i.e. computer program) (See col. 10, Fig 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,151

Art Unit: 1765

Stolze fails to disclose that the computer readable code is used for ramping at least one the parameter during the etching of the feature to optimize plasma parameters according to etch depth and etching with the ramped plasma until the etched feature is etched to a feature depth, wherein the ramping up occurs for at least 30% of the duration of the etch. In a plasma etching method, Bhardwaj teaches ramping at least one etching parameter during the etching of the feature to optimize plasma parameters to the changing etch depth and etching with the ramped plasma until the feature is etched to a feature depth, wherein the ramping up occurs for at least 30% of the duration of the etch (col. 8-9, Fig 9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to modify Stolze in view of Bhardwaj by ramping the etching parameters because this technique provide more control of the etching process.

Page 7

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,151 Page 8

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BinhTran

Binh X. Tran